

Comment Response Document

NPA 22B-80

After circulation of the NPA to the Regulation Committee 4 comments were received.

Comments were received from following Committee members:

CAA Denmark
CAA Hungaria
LBA
ENAC

One late comment was received

CAA

Review of comments:

Three of the commenters agreed and had no comments to offer.

One commenter did not agree. He sees an alleviation of the rule in the proposed amendmend. This his been justified very detailed.

It was agreed that the justification for the amendmend needs to be improved.
The commenter agreed to the proposed amendmend with the altered justification.

A late comment stated a deficiency in the definition of the flap design speeds.
It was agreed that this comment should be addressed as a new NPA.