

## COMMENT / RESPONSE DOCUMENT

### NPA 29-24; Advisory Material for JAR-29

The following have replied indicating that they have no comments:-

CAA Denmark  
CAA UK  
FAA  
Transport Canada

The following comments have been received with HASG replies:-

Comment: Turbomeca

As it is presented, NPA 29-24 fails to give enough information to enable the impact of the proposed changes to be fully assessed.

*Reply: The intent of NPA 29-24 was to introduce an administrative change to formally adopt AC 29-2C as JAR-29 ACJ material. Consultation on the technical issues had previously been undertaken jointly between the FAA and JHWG (consisting of HASG, the AECMA Helicopter Study Group and AIA representatives) and in consultation with other JAA WGs, where relevant. This was in line with agreed harmonisation working procedures at the time and had the agreement of all parties.*

Comment: AECMA (SBAC)

Delete Proposal 2 as ACJ 29.571 was removed from JAR-29 Change 1 by NPA 29-11.

*Reply: Agreed. The NPA had been prepared prior to the release of Change 1 and did not correctly reflect previous amendments.*

Proposal 1 of this NPA will be published unchanged. Proposal 2 is withdrawn.